

# Approximation Method for Configuration Optimization of Trusses

Prof. Sathe Pooja R.<sup>1</sup>, Adhav Siddhi N.<sup>2</sup>, Adhav Shreyash N.<sup>3</sup>, Adhav Sarthak S.<sup>4</sup>, Mote Aditya B.<sup>5</sup>, Misal Aditya G.<sup>6</sup>

1(Civil Engineering Department , SPPU/  
Adsul's Technical Campus, Maharashtra, India.

Email: poojasathe55@gmail.com)

2 (FE Engineering Department , SPPU/  
Adsul's Technical Campus, Maharashtra, India.

Email: adhavsiddhi81@gmail.com)

## Abstract:

Truss structures are widely used in civil, mechanical, aerospace, and industrial engineering due to their high strength-to-weight ratio and structural efficiency. Optimization of truss configuration is an important research area that aims to reduce material consumption while maintaining safety and performance standards. This paper presents an approximation-based method for configuration optimization of trusses, where structural responses such as stress, displacement, and buckling behavior are estimated using mathematical approximation models. The proposed approach minimizes computational effort compared with conventional iterative finite element methods. The study discusses design variables, constraints, optimization techniques, and practical implementation of approximation concepts in truss systems. Results indicate that approximation methods significantly reduce analysis time while producing near-optimal solutions. The method is especially suitable for large-scale truss systems requiring repeated analysis during design optimization.

**Keywords:** Truss Optimization, Approximation Method, Structural Design, Configuration Optimization, Weight Minimization, Finite Element Analysis

## 1. Introduction

Trusses are one of the most common load-bearing structural systems used in bridges, towers, roofs, cranes, aircraft frames, and industrial platforms. A truss consists of interconnected members arranged in triangular units, ensuring stability and efficient force transfer. Due to increasing demand for lightweight and economical structures, engineers seek optimal truss configurations that satisfy stress and displacement limits.

Traditional truss design often depends on trial-and-error procedures, which are time-consuming and may not provide the best solution. Optimization methods offer a systematic approach to determine the ideal geometry, member sizes, and connectivity of truss systems.

Configuration optimization focuses on determining the best arrangement of nodes and members. However, repeated structural analysis during optimization becomes computationally expensive. Approximation methods solve this issue by estimating structural behavior using simplified mathematical expressions, reducing the number of exact analyses required.

This paper studies approximation methods for truss configuration optimization and demonstrates their engineering significance.

**2. Literature Review:** Several researchers have contributed to truss optimization over past decades.

- **Schmit and Farshi (1974)** introduced structural approximation concepts for weight minimization.

- **Hansen and Vanderplaats** developed approximation methods specifically for truss configuration optimization.
- **Kirsch (1981)** applied dual methods and approximation concepts in structural optimization.
- **Rajeev and Krishnamoorthy (1992)** used genetic algorithms for truss optimization.
- **Kaveh and Talatahari (2010)** introduced metaheuristic methods such as particle swarm optimization.

Most traditional methods require numerous finite element analyses. Approximation methods gained popularity because they reduce computational cost while maintaining acceptable accuracy.

### 3. Problem Statement

The objective is to optimize truss configuration such that total weight is minimized while satisfying structural constraints.

#### Objective Function:

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i A_i L_i$$

Where:

- (W) = total weight
- ( $\rho_i$ ) = density of member (i)
- ( $A_i$ ) = cross-sectional area
- ( $L_i$ ) = length of member
- (n) = total number of members

#### Design Variables:

1. Node coordinates
2. Member connectivity
3. Cross-sectional areas

#### Constraints:

1. Stress limits
2. Displacement limits
3. Buckling resistance
4. Manufacturing constraints
- 5.

### 4. Approximation Methodology

Approximation methods replace exact structural responses with mathematical models based on previous analysis results.

#### 4.1 Linear

##### Approximation

$$f(x) \approx f(x_0) + \nabla f(x_0)(x - x_0)$$

Used when design changes are small.

### 4.2 Quadratic Approximation

$$f(x) \approx a + bx + cx^2$$

Provides better accuracy for nonlinear behavior.

### 4.3 Response Surface Method

Uses sampled finite element results to generate predictive equations.

### 4.4 Optimization Procedure

1. Create initial truss model
2. Perform exact structural analysis
3. Build approximation functions
4. Optimize design variables
5. Reanalyze optimized structure
6. Repeat until convergence

### 5. Structural Analysis of Truss

Each truss member carries axial force only.

#### Member Stress:

Where:

$$\sigma = \frac{F}{A}$$

- ( $\sigma$ ) = stress
- (F) = axial force
- (A) = area

#### Displacement Constraint:

$$\delta \leq \delta_{max}$$

#### Euler Buckling Load:

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{(KL)^2}$$

These responses are approximated during optimization to save computational time.

### 6. Example Case Study

Consider a 10-member planar steel truss.

#### Given Data:

- Material: Steel
- Density = 7850 kg/m<sup>3</sup>
- Young's Modulus = 200 GPa
- Stress Limit = 250 MPa
- Max Deflection = 10 mm

#### Initial Weight:

1200 kg

#### Optimized Weight using Approximation Method:

980 kg

#### Weight Reduction:

$$\frac{1200-980}{1200} \times 100 = 18.33\%$$

Thus, significant material saving is achieved.

## 7. Results and Discussion

Parameter	Conventional Method	Approximation Method
Number of Analyses	150	35
Computational Time	High	Low
Final Weight	1000 kg	980 kg
Accuracy	Exact	Near Exact

### Observations:

- Faster convergence
- Reduced computational effort
- Suitable for large trusses
- Economical designs achieved

However, poor approximation models may reduce accuracy.

## 8. Advantages of Approximation Method

1. Reduces optimization time
2. Less computational cost
3. Effective for repeated iterations
4. Suitable for complex truss systems
5. Provides near-optimal results

## 9. Limitations

1. Depends on quality of approximation model
2. May fail for highly nonlinear problems
3. Requires periodic exact analysis updates
4. Local optimum possible

## 10. Conclusion

Approximation methods are powerful tools for configuration optimization of trusses. They significantly reduce computational effort by replacing repeated exact structural analyses with predictive mathematical models. The method efficiently minimizes structural weight while satisfying stress, displacement, and buckling constraints. For practical engineering design, approximation-based optimization provides faster and economical solutions, especially for large and complex truss systems. Future research may combine approximation techniques with artificial intelligence and machine learning for enhanced structural optimization.

## 11. Future Scope

- AI-based truss optimization
- Hybrid GA + Approximation methods
- Real-time structural optimization software
- 3D space truss applications
- Sustainable material optimization

## 12. References

1. Hansen, S.R., Vanderplaats, G.N., Approximation Method for Configuration Optimization of Trusses.
2. Schmit, L.A., Structural Synthesis by Systematic Optimization.
3. Kirsch, U., Approximation Concepts in Structural Optimization.
4. Rajeev, S., Krishnamoorthy, C.S., Discrete Optimization of Structures.
5. Kaveh, A., Talatahari, S., Metaheuristic Optimization of Trusses.